

Volume 20 Number 2

Daniel Estevez and Michel Léglise (2015) Accountability and design, International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology, 20:2 99-102

Editor-in-Chief:

Reza Beheshti Khaldoun Zreik

Editors:

Daniel Estevez Edwin Dado Mithra Zahedi

europia

ISSN 1630 - 7267

ISSN 1630 - 7267

© europia Productions, 2015 15, avenue de Ségur, 75007 Paris, France. Tel (Fr) 01 45 51 26 07 - (Int.) +33 1 45 51 26 07 Fax (Fr) 01 45 51 26 32- (Int.) +33 1 45 51 26 32 E-mail: ijdst@europia.org

E-mail: ijdst@europia.org http://www.europia.org/ijdst

Volume 20 Number 2



Editor-in-Chief: Reza Beheshti, Design Research Foundation, Netherlands

Khaldoun Zreik, University of Paris 8, France

Editors: Daniel Estevez, Toulouse University, France

Edwin Dado, NLDA, Netherlands

Mithra Zahedi, University of Montreal, Canada

Editorial Board: ACHTEN, Henri (Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic)

AMOR, Robert (University of Auckland, New Zealand)

AOUAD, Ghassan (Gulf University for Science and Technology, Kuwait)

BAX, Thijs (Eindhoven University of Technology, Netherlands)

BECUE, Vincent (Université de Mons, Belgium)

BEHESHTI, Reza (Design Research Foundation, Netherlands) **BONNARDEL**, Nathalie (Université d'Aix Marseille, France)

BOUDON, Philippe (EAPLV, France)

BRANGIER, Eric (Université de Lorraine, France)

CARRARA, Gianfranco (Università di Roma La Sapienza, Italy)

DADO, Edwin (NLDA, Netherlands)

EDER, W. Ernst (Royal Military College, Canada) ESTEVEZ, Daniel (Toulouse University, France) FARINHA, Fátima (University of Algarve, Portugal)

FINDELI, Alain (Université de Nîmes, France)

GERO, John (George Mason University and University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA)

GUENA, François (ARIAM-LAREA, ENSA de Paris la Villette, France)
HASSAN, Tarek (Loughborough University Of Technology, UK)
HENSEL, Michael (Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Norway)

HORVATH, Imre (Delft University of Technology, Netherlands)

KATRANUSCHKOV, Peter (Dresden University of Technology, Germany)

KAZI, Sami (VTT, Finland)

KHOSROWSHAHI, Farzad (University of Leeds, UK)

KUILEN, Jan-Willem van de (Munich University of Technology, Germany)

LAUDATI, Patrizia (Université de Valenciennes et du Hainaut Cambrésis, France)

LECLERCO, Pierre (University of Liège, Belgium)

LEEUWEN, Jos van (Haagse Hogeschool, The Netherlands)

MONTARAS, Lopez de Ramon (ILIIA, Spain)

NEWTON, Sid (University of New South Wales, Australia)

PAOLI, Giovanni de (Université de Montréal, Canada)

REBOLJ, Daniel (University of Maribor, Slovenia)

ROBERTSON, Alec (4D Design Futures Philosopher, UK)

RUITENBEEK, Martinus van de (Delft University of Technology, Netherlands)

SARIYILDIZ, Sevil (Delft University of Technology, Netherlands)

SCHERER, Raimar (Dresden University of Technology, Germany)

SCHMITT, Gerhard (ETH Zurich, Switzerland)

SCIAMMA, Dominique (Strate Collège, France)

SMITH, Ian (EPFL, Switzerland)

TROUSSE, Brigitte (INRIA – Sophia Antipolis, France)

TURK, Žiga (University of Ljubljana, Slovenia)

ZAHEDI, Mithra (University of Montreal, Canada)

ZARLI, Alan (CSTB, France)

ZREIK, Khaldoun (University of Paris 8, France)

Accountability and design

Daniel Estevez* and Michel Léglise **

- * École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de Toulouse, France. Email: daniel.estevez@toulouse.archi.fr
- ** École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de Toulouse, France. Email: michel.leglise@toulouse.archi.fr

Contemporary designers are moving away from closed systems to take advantage of flexible, versatile, accessible and open sets of arrangements, sometimes ill-suited and yet often adaptable tools. Because those tools provide designers with more freedom of action, they appear as the most efficient. The notion of set of arrangements, as opposed to that of system, questions the designers' own capacity of appropriating techniques. But their expertise lies less in their technical command of designing operations with the help of constraining tools, than in their "meta-technical" knowledge of designing production situations. Relying on research carried out over many years in the field of teaching within the Li2a laboratory, the text below is an attempt to explore some avenues on what can reasonably be expected from contemporary technological designing environments. The emphasis laid on digital technology in this field should definitely be questioned: should technical computer aided techniques be favoured or should it be used to stimulate the designers' capacity for invention? In a more general perspective, shouldn't environment-constrained design, in the broadest sense of this often overused expression, embrace a principle of accountability, optimal use of resources and smart practices?

Keywords: design, simplicity-complexity, designing operations, experiments

1 Active designing

It is time for architecture to take into account the life cycle process of buildings. From that perspective, the adaptability of designed objects becomes the core focus of the designers' intention. The more designers will contextually use, misuse, re-use and transform their own sets of arrangements, the more valuable the latter will be. Following into the steps of the South-African architect Carin Smuts [3], could it be said that "cultural sustainability" applies to the fields of conceptual architecture and design?

In a broader perspective, the capacity of the designing process to integrate use and misuse practices will certainly contribute to the designers' insecurity or bring them to question their own subjectivity.

Besides, it is to be noted that today, software is being massively used at each of the designing stages, whether in teaching or in production.

But a computer program may be viewed as a task-describing formal model [7]. From that perspective, CAO software conveys an implicit theory of design, or at least, of how it should be conducted.

"Our trade, being increasingly confronted to market demands, tends to resort mostly to what we consider as functional (knowing that a software which is not functional cannot be operated but forgetting that a functional software is not necessarily useful). We should learn to adapt to those implicit models [6].

In this new context we mean to explore, assisting the designing process should rather consist in helping designers to find the right approach to their tools. That would help them to regain the position they should have never left: that is, being at the core of the designing process and giving up close systems to the benefit of flexible, versatile, accessible and open sets of arrangements, even when ill-adapted at times. We have

100 Accountability and design

already often studied the emergence of the notion of set of arrangements (a number of heterogeneous elements available both in time and space whenever needed by the designers) instead of a closed system (a number of exclusively technical and instrumental problem-solving closed areas, exactly what a CAO software does) [16]. The designers' expertise does not consist so much in a technical command of designing operations, assisted by a set of binding tools, as in some "meta-technical" knowledge of production and daily use situations. The architect Yona Friedman's studies on self-planning [5], have very accurately described the social consequences of that type of position which turns architects away from designing technicalities into consultants, organizers, resource persons providing expertise in ecology, construction, organization, history, in short, meta-designers.

All those avenues for research undoubtedly aim at promoting active approaches that could paradoxically be said to be off-centred (as defined by Piaget) [12]. They mean to integrate a notion that could be called some kind of collective "democratic expertise", which refers to ethical competences confronting designers to a number of contradictory strains. Those contradictions cover the relations between technical support and practical emancipation, between formalizing functions and improvising new uses and between abiding by protocols and transgressing them.

But isn't the very study of those contradictions the only way to provide other tools that would enable architects to fulfil their part as active and subjective designers?

2 Designers' postures

Many studies attempt to go beyond the notion of system in design or more generally in actions or human work. That is what has led to the emergence of concepts like DISTIC over the last few years (information and communication socio-technical set-ups) in which human action plays a central part over technical environments. Notions like misuse, reuse, transgressing technical usage patterns are being taken into account when studying praxis. The designing process, whether in architecture or in design, may well add to the complexity of the methodological issue when integrating the more subjective notion of designing posture.

In its anthropological meaning in the fields of science and technology, the word "posture" refers to "the actor involved in a network of relations solely governed by a principle of equivalence: the subscriber, the spectator, the tax-payer all refer to a posture that could apply to the network user. Each posture is related to a set of technical and social devices. Confronted to these functional assignments, the informal and unexpected uses of technical devices may acquire some significance. They focus designers back onto a fundamental dimension of their work, that is experimenting techniques as a means to make them their own. Besides, whenever a designer adopts a posture, it may become a subjective construction of his own instead of an outside pre-determined technique. It would thus be the designers' capacity to build their own adequate approach that would help them to face the insecurity of a given design situation and to implement unplanned solutions. That might be the meaning of Constantin Brancusi's well-known phrase when talking about the issue of artistic creation: "Doing things is not difficult. What is difficult is to set one's mind to be doing them ...". Being in the right state of mind consists simply in building up one's approach instead of applying one. In a way, it means being accountable for it.

Moving away from functional constraints arising from the underlying and implicit usage patterns laid by technical systems is now a priority task for designers. It also responds to a broader necessity arising from growing formal requirements (statutory, technological, legal...) imposed today by our contemporary social organization [6]. Ever since Ivan Illich's critical studies, it has become obvious that the prevalence of any formal institution within any given field may prove "counter-productive" and go against the own formal objectives of this field of activity ("beyond a certain threshold [...] transport cripples traffic, compulsory schooling blocks access to knowledge") [9]. That could well apply to individuals in their own practices, including their professional field. At the individual level, architectural designers are not immune from such a threat of losing their skills brought about by systematic and fully automated work.

3 Design and "bricolage"

Consequently, what could be done to overcome the partitioning, role allocating and exclusion inferred by the technological equipment of our environment? What is at stake is our capacity to restore the social subject's ability to act: taking what has been partitioned and divided by systems back into common usage. To that purpose, some authors suggest to use the notion of "desecration". We'd rather use some notions we feel are better-suited to our field: transgressing, unexpectedly incorporating and reconciling incongruous elements, in a nutshell some kind of "bricolage" in Lévi-Strauss' powerful sense of the word: ""Bricolage" characterizes the savage mind, which is not the savages' mind, nor that of archaic or primitive mankind, but the savage mind, as opposed to the mind that has been tamed or civilized so as to obtain adequate performance [11]. Should designing tools be also returned to architects and designers for their common usage? Or else, should some "transgressive uses" [4] of technical sets of arrangements be also encouraged? Or, on the contrary, should more elaborate sets of arrangements be devised so as to stimulate critical approaches? Or adopt a more radical and probably more utopist approach in order to free ourselves from the very sets of arrangements after getting rid of systems, and do without any kind of instrument? All those questions are actually related to a more global and definitely emancipating approach to design which, without rejecting any other solution, favours interpreting over formalizing, improvising over planning, critical acting over technological acting, discussing over prescribing.

4 Conclusion, design and simplicity

The new complex objects of research work in the field of design might well be "lived-in architecture", "inuse objects", "experienced towns", "inhabited spaces". This implies taking into account lived-in space right from the very start of the space designing stage. Viewed from that perspective, the issue of aided design seems to be moving away from the production of assisting and control systems to stimulating activities relying on experience. It may then become possible to manipulate and study some sets of arrangements that would be capable of reintegrating into common usage those do it yourself practices that are most of the time being downgraded by those closed technical systems. In that case, what would these sets of arrangements be like? Shouldn't their prime function consist in stimulating informal and improvised practices? Designers' freedom of action should then be given absolute priority [8].

102 Accountability and design

In this respect, the opposition between systems and sets of arrangements will toughen, in other words, our approach to the nature and role of digital technology could grow more radical: should we favour design technical assistance or the designers' innovative stimulation?

This leads to further questioning. How effective may these choices and practices be? Could their effectiveness spring from the dynamics between simplicity and complexity? How can simple tools in architectural design give birth to complexity? It might then be a matter of studying, devising and experimenting designing tools and methods that would contribute to simplify techniques and to take into account the informal dimension of architecture. Owing to their depth, "schemes in the doing" do stimulate the designers' imagination. In architectural design, complexity could well be mastered through the effective simplicity of practical action.

Acknowledgment

This paper was first published in "Conception et réutilisation", Conference proceedings of 01Design.9 [15].

Bibliography

- [1] **Akrich M** (1993) Les objets techniques et leurs utilisateurs. De la conception à l'action. In: Conein B Dodier N and Thévenot L eds, Les objets dans l'action. De la maison au laboratoire, Éditions de l'École Pratique des Hautes Études en sciences sociales, Raisons Pratiques N 4
- [2] Conesa J-C (2009) L'expérimentation comme appropriation du réel. In: During E, Jean-pierre L., Kihm C., Zabunyan D. In actu De l'expérimental dans l'art, Les presses du réel.
- [3] Estevez D and Frey P (2013), Energy and people, focus on Carin Smuts, dossier spécial in l'architecture d'aujourd'hui, N 394, Paris
- [4] Estevez D (2001) Dessin d'architecture et infographie, L'évolution contemporaine des pratiques graphiques", CNRS EDITIONS, Paris
- [5] **Freidman Y** (2003) L'architecture de survie, une philosophie de la pauvreté, eds L'Eclat, Paris
- [6] GORI R (2013) la fabrique des imposteur, Liens qui Libèrent Editions, Paris
- [7] Hamel R (1994) A model of the architectural design process, and some implications. In: Tzonis A and White I eds, Automation Based Creative Design, Elsevier Science 333-347
- [8] **Hutin C** and **Estevez D** (2012) Atelier Learning From, Pour une architecture de la vie quotidienne. In: Exercice(s) d'architecture N 4, Archibooks + Sautereau éditeur, Renne
- [9] Illich I (1975) Energie et Equité. Ed Seuil (2e éd), Paris
- [10] Léglise M (2000) Conception assistive: Modélisation et interprétation. In:Modélisation architecturale et outils informatiques, Entre cultures de représentation et du savoir-faire, Collection ACFAS, Les cahiers scientifiques N 95, sous la direction de G. De Paoli et T. Tidafi, Canada 51-66
- [11] Levi-Strauss C (1962) La pensée sauvage, Plon, 289
- [12] Piaget J (1987) Le rôle de la notion d'équilibre. In: Six études de psychologie, Denoël, Paris
- [13] Schweyer P (2011) Rencontre du troisième type, revue Novo N 15, juillet 15. sq., Dialogue entre Richard Serra et Constantin Brancusi surpris par un surveillant de musée. issuu.com/media.pop/docs/novo_15:15
- [15] **Zreik K** and **Estevez D** eds (2014) Conception et réutilisation Conference proceedings of 01Design.9 Europia Productions
- [16] w3.toulouse.archi.fr/li2a/



Design Sciences, Advanced Technologies and Design Innovations

Towards a better, stronger and sustainable built environment

Aims and scope

Today's design strongly seeks ways to change itself into a more competitive and innovative discipline taking advantage of the emerging advanced technologies as well as evolution of design research disciplines with their profound effects on emerging design theories, methods and techniques. A number of reform programmes have been initiated by national governments, research institutes, universities and design practices. Although the objectives of different reform programmes show many more differences than commonalities, they all agree that the adoption of advanced information, communication and knowledge technologies is a key enabler for achieving the long-term objectives of these programmes and thus providing the basis for a better, stronger and sustainable future for all design disciplines. The term sustainability - in its environmental usage - refers to the conservation of the natural environment and resources for future generations. The application of sustainability refers to approaches such as Green Design, Sustainable Architecture etc. The concept of sustainability in design has evolved over many years. In the early years, the focus was mainly on how to deal with the issue of increasingly scarce resources and on how to reduce the design impact on the natural environment. It is now recognized that "sustainable" or "green" approaches should take into account the so-called triple bottom line of economic viability, social responsibility and environmental impact. In other words: the sustainable solutions need to be socially equitable, economically viable and environmentally sound.

IJDST promotes the advancement of information and communication technology and effective application of advanced technologies for all design disciplines related to the built environment including but not limited to architecture, building design, civil engineering, urban planning and industrial design. Based on these objectives the journal challenges design researchers and design professionals from all over the world to submit papers on how the application of advanced technologies (theories, methods, experiments and techniques) can address the long-term ambitions of the design disciplines in order to enhance its competitive qualities and to provide solutions for the increasing demand from society for more sustainable design products. In addition, IJDST challenges authors to submit research papers on the subject of green design. In this context "green design" is regarded as the application of sustainability in design by means of the advanced technologies (theories, methods, experiments and techniques), which focuses on the research, education and practice of design which is capable of using resources efficiently and effectively. The main objective of this approach is to develop new products and services for corporations and their clients in order to reduce their energy consumption.

The main goal of the International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology (IJDST) is to disseminate design knowledge. The design of new products drives to solve problems that their solutions are still partial and their tools and methods are rudimentary. Design is applied in extremely various fields and implies numerous agents during the entire process of elaboration and realisation. The International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology is a multidisciplinary forum dealing with all facets and fields of design. It endeavours to provide a framework with which to support debates on different social, economic, political, historical, pedagogical, philosophical, scientific and technological issues surrounding design and their implications for both professional and educational design environments. The focus is on both general as well as specific design issues, at the level of design ideas, experiments and applications. Besides examining the concepts and the questions raised by academic and professional communities, IJDST also addresses

the concerns and approaches of different academic, industrial and professional design disciplines. IJDST seeks to follow the growth of the universe of design theories, methods and techniques in order to observe, to interpret and to contribute to design's dynamic and expanding sciences and technology. IJDST will examine design in its broadest context. Papers are expected to clearly address design research, applications and methods. Conclusions need to be sufficiently supported by both evidence from existing research (reference to existing design research knowledge) as well as strong case-studies from any design discipline. A paper must contain at least one chapter on research questions, methodology of research and methods of analysis (the minimum length is 1500 words). The concluding chapter (the minimum length is 1000 words) will summarise the paper and its results. The concluding chapter also examines and discuss applications, advantage, shortcomings and implications of the investigation for both professional and educational design communities as well as for the people and the society. Also authors are also encouraged to include in this chapter a discussion of the possible future research that is required or is possible in order to enhance the research findings.

The papers considered for IJDST cover a wide range of research areas including but not limited to the following topics: Design research, design science, design thinking, design knowledge, design history, design taxonomy, design technology, design praxeology, design modelling, design metrology, design axiology, design philosophy, design epistemology, design pedagogy, design management, design policy, design politics, design sociology, design economics, design aesthetics, design semantics, design decision-making, design decisions, design evaluation, design sustainability, design logic, design ontology, design logistics, design syntaxis, design ethics, design objective, design responsibility, design environment, design awareness, design informatics, design organization, design communication, design intelligence, design evaluation, design education, design theories, design techniques, design methods, design operations, design processes, design products, design users, design participation, design innovation, design inspired by nature, design case studies, design experiments, etc.

International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology is devoted to further exploration of all themes and issues that are directly or indirectly relevant to the exploration, introduction, discussion of design sciences and technology, cross referencing domains and any other themes emerging in the future.

Instructions for Authors and Review Process

Pre-review Stage (Editor Global Review): Papers can only be considered for review when they deal with a subject relevant to the content of the journal. In addition all papers submitted must follow the journal's paper structure and Author Instructions before they can be considered for review. **These instructions also affect the content of the paper.** Authors can choose to submit a short paper (about 5000-8000 words). The preferred size of a paper (monograph) is about 10000 words (maximum 15000 words). The title must not be longer than seven words. Subtitles are not permitted. The maximum length of the abstract is 150 words. All papers must contain an introductory chapter with extensive literature review of similar research. The paper devotes at least one chapter to detailed discussion of research questions, research analysis and research methods. The conclusion will summarise the research and its results. In addition this chapter includes a detailed discussion of applications, advantage, shortcomings and implications of the investigation as well as future research for both design professionals and the design education. Submit a paper at this stage as PDF.

Review Stage (Peer Review): Only papers meeting all IJDST requirements can be considered for review. All papers are blind-reviewed by at least two expert reviewers. The main author of a reviewed and accepted paper will be notified with instructions to resubmit the paper. All reviewed and accepted papers have to be resubmitted, implementing reviewers and editors comments and/or suggestions. Only accepted papers conforming to instructions will be considered for publication in the International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology. A paper should follow the IJDST paper structure. The review process will be repeated until all requirements are met.

The first page of the paper must contain the full title of the paper as well as the Name (no initials) & Surname, affiliation, address, telephone, fax and email of the corresponding author to whom all correspondence to be directed. If applicable please also mention the Name (no initials) & Surname, affiliation, postal address, telephone, fax and email of the co-author(s).

The second page contains the full title of the paper (maximum 7 words), the sub-title is not permitted, an abstract of about 50 to 150 words summarising the content of the paper and 3-5 keywords for the purpose of indexing (the use of references in the abstract is discouraged). The length of a short paper is about 5000-800 words. The preferred size of a paper (monograph) is about 10000 words (maximum 15000 words). The use of Footnotes is permitted (maximum length is about 50 words). Footnotes should be numbered consecutively. For instance: [[17 A 'footnote' reflects additional information, a reference or the URL of a website]].

The paper will be written in the UK English. It will be single-spaced with 30 mm margins on all sides (paper size A4). Use Times New Roman for the main body of text (size 10), figures (size 8) or tables (size 8). The use of Bold, Italics, ALL CAPS, SMALL CAPS, etc. is discouraged. All chapters should be numbered consecutively (more than two level sub-headings is discouraged). All Figures and Tables with their respective captions should be numbered consecutively. They should each, be placed on a separate page, at the end of the paper. Give an approximate insertion point for figures and tables, between double square brackets. For instance: [[insert Figure 5]]. You will be asked to resubmit tables, figures and images if necessary. The paper must be submitted in plain text. Do not layout your paper. Do not use any styles or any automatic layout system. Please do not use 'Track Changes'.

All tables should be clearly referred to in the main body of text as Table 1, Table 2, etc. All Figures should be clearly referred to in the main body of text as Figure 1, Figure 2, etc. Line drawings should be of good quality. Use light background if possible (white is preferred). Photographs and screen-shots should also be submitted separately as JPEG files (use high resolution for better results). Authors should prepare high quality figures and drawings. The use of colours in your illustrations is permitted although the hardcopy of the journal is not published in colour. Maximum width and height of a figure are respectively 150 mm and 190 mm. Maximum width and height of a table are respectively 115 mm and 170 mm. All Equations will be numbered consecutively and should be clearly mentioned in the main body of text.

All references will appear at appropriate places in the main body of text. References are collected at the end of the paper and are arranged in alphabetical order (numbered consecutively) by the first author's surname, followed by initials. All authors should be mentioned. Dates will appear between brackets after the authors' name(s). This is followed by the title of the book, name of the publisher, place of publication and page numbers (if applicable). To refer to a journal paper, add the full title of the journal followed by Volume: Number and page(s), for example 20:2 89-124.

The number of references to the author's own previous publications will not exceed 5% of the total number of references. References that are not mentioned in the main body of text are not allowed. Examples of references to a book, a journal or a website are shown below:

- [1] **Beckett K L** and **Shaffer D W** (2004) Augmented by Reality: The Pedagogical Praxis of Urban Planning as a Pathway to Ecological Thinking, University of Wisconsin, Madison
- [2] **Blackman, D A** (2001) Does a Learning Organisation Facilitate Knowledge Acquisition and Transfer? Electronic Journal of Radical Organization Theory, 7:2 [www.mngt.waikato.ac.nz/Research/ejrot/Vol7_1/vol7_1articles/blackman.asp]
- [3] Buxton, W (1997) Living in Augmented Reality: Ubiquitous Media and Reflective Environments. In: Finne K, Sellen A and Wilber S eds, Video Mediated Communication, Erlbaum, Hillsdale N.J., 363-384
- [4] **Dixon, N M** (2000) Common Knowledge: How companies thrive by sharing what they know, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA
- [5] Djenidi H, Ramdane-Cherif A, Tadj C and Levy N (2004). Generic Pipelined Multi-Agents Architecture for Multimedia Multimodal Software Environment, Journal of Object Technology, 3:8, 147-169
- [6] Gorard, S and Selwynn, N (1999) Switching on to the learning society? Questioning the role of technology in widening participation in lifelong learning, Journal of Education Policy, 14:5, 523-534
- [7] **World Bank** (2002) Social assessment as a method for social analysis, World Bank Group [www.worldbank.org/gender/resources/assessment/samethod.htm]

The definitive paper is submitted as plain text MS Word file for the PC (MS Word RTF format for the Macintosh). In addition, a formatted version of the paper (including images and tables at their approximate places) will be submitted in PDF format (to be used as a guideline for the layout). All figures must be submitted separately in high resolution jpg format. Submit your paper as an email attachment addressed to the Editor-in-Chief [Submit2IJDST@Gmail.com].

Author(s) of an accepted paper have to complete, sign and return a Copyrights Transfer Form to the publisher. This copyrights transfer assignment will ensure the widest possible dissemination of information. Papers published in the International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology cannot be published elsewhere, in any form (digital, paper-based or otherwise) without a prior written permission from the publisher.

The author(s) are responsible for obtaining permission to utilize any copyrighted material. For more details about this subject, please contact the publisher at an early stage.

A paper can be rejected at any stage if the requirements are not met. The decision of the Editor-in-Chief on all matters related to the International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology including the review process, publication of papers, etc. is final and cannot be disputed.

There is no deadline for the publication of an accepted paper that will be published online within one to four months after the final re-submission is accepted. The hardcopy book of the volume will be published when 8 papers are published online. The corresponding author of a paper published in the International Journal of Design Sciences and Technology will receive a digital copy of the author's paper free of charge. Hard copies of any individual paper (minimum 100 copies) and the hardcopy of the IJDST Volume (containing 8 papers published online) can be purchased from the publisher (ask for an invoice from the publisher IJDST@europia.org).



Design Sciences, Advanced Technologies and Design Innovations

Towards a better, stronger and sustainable built environment

How to Order

IJDST-online

You can view and download a digital version of individual papers free of charge from the journal's website.

IJDST Hardcopies

Hardcopies of individual papers (minimum order 100 copies) and volumes (minimum order is one single copy of the book containing 2 issues) can be ordered directly from Europia Productions. You need to send your **Request for an Invoice** (preferably by email, Fax or letter) indicating details of your order and the quantities. Please provide your full name and initials, postal address, email and telephone number. An invoice will be sent to you indicating the total amount of your order, the cost of packing/postage and method of payment.

Individual Subscription IJDST Hardcopies

Individuals can subscribe to receive a hardcopy of the book containing 2 issues for € 200.00 (incl. 5.5 % VAT, packing and postage). You need to send your **Request for a Subscription Invoice** (preferably by email, Fax or letter) indicating the IJDST Volume. Please provide your full name and initials, postal address, email and telephone number. An invoice will be sent to you indicating the method of payment.

Institutional Subscription IJDST Hardcopies

Libraries and organisations can subscribe to receive a hardcopy of the book containing 2 issues for € 200.00 (incl. 5.5 % VAT, packing and postage). You need to send your **Request for a Subscription Invoice** (preferably by email, Fax or letter) indicating the IJDST Volume. Please provide details of the library or organisation, name contact person, postal address, email, telephone number and Fax number. An invoice will be sent to you indicating the method of payment.

Other Publications

Other Europia Productions publications can be ordered from the address below. You always need to send your **Request for an Invoice** (preferably by email, Fax or letter) indicating details of your order and the quantities. Please provide your full name and initials, postal address, email and telephone number. An invoice will be sent to you indicating the total amount of your order, the cost of packing/postage and method of payment.

Europia Productions

15, avenue de Ségur, 75007 Paris, France

Telephone +33 1 4551 2607 / Fax +33 1 4551 2632

E-mail: ijdst@europia.fr URL: http://europia.org/ijdst/